PCT/GB2004/003491

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 G01N33/543 G01N G01N33/53 G01N33/569 C12P21/00 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC **B. FIELDS SEARCHED** Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 G01N C12P Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used) EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Category ° Relevant to claim No. X US 5 786 161 A (IRSCH JOHANNES ET AL) 1,3,4,6, 28 July 1998 (1998-07-28) column 4, line 1 - column 6, line 24 Α WO 94/09117 A (MILTENYI BIOTEC INC 1-7 MILTENYI STEFAN (DE); RADBRUCH ANDREAS (DE); MAN) 28 April 1994 (1994-04-28) claims 1-59 WO 02/057423 A (CHEN GANG ; FANDL JAMES P Α 1-7 (US); STAHL NEIL (US); REGENERON PHARMA (US)) 25 July 2002 (2002-07-25) page 25, line 20 - page 31, line 6 WO 99/58977 A (ASSENMACHER MARIO ; Α 1-7 MILTENYI STEFAN (DE); SCHMITZ JURGEN (DE); MILTENY) 18 November 1999 (1999-11-18) abstract Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex. Special categories of cited documents : "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone filing date "L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 1 October 2004 08/10/2004 Name and mailing address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31–70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31–70) 340–3018 Diez Schlereth, D

PCT/GB2004/003491

		PCT/GB2004/003491		
	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		,	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.	
A	WO 92/02551 A (UNIV BRITISH COLUMBIA ; B R CENTRE LIMITED (CA)) 20 February 1992 (1992-02-20) cited in the application the whole document	1		
Ρ,Χ	WO 2004/051268 A (LIGHTWOOD DANIEL JOHN; BUTLER LISA (GB); BROWN DEREK THOMAS (GB); CEL) 17 June 2004 (2004-06-17) the whole document		1,2,5,7	
	·	·		
			,	
	·			
	210 (continuation of second sheet) (January 2004)		i	

PCT/GB2004/003491

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims Nos.: 8-10 because they relate to parts of the international Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically: See FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of Item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on Protest
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: 8-10

Claims 8-10 do not meet the requiremens of Art. 6 PCT in combination with Art. 5 PCT, for the following reason: it is not possible to know the extent of the scope of claim 8 because this claim defines the subject-matter for which protection is sought (an antibody) by its manufacture process instead of by technical features inherent to said antibody. Analogous arguments apply for the subject-matter of claims 9-10.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

Information on patent family members

PCT/GB2004/003491

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publicatio date	Publication date	
US 5786161 /	28-07-1998	AU	3032797 A			
		CA	2250746 A	1 11-12-	1997	
		DE	69701576 D	1 04-05-	2000	
		DE	69701576 T			
		WO	9746880 A			
		ËP	0918992 A			
		นิร	5916818 A			
WO 9409117	28-04-1994	-∸ AT	237808 1	15-05-	2003	
10 5405117	. 20 01 1331	AŬ	679949 B			
		AU	5538594 A			
		CA	2146974 <i>F</i>			
		DE	69332884			
		DE	69332884			
		EP	1324040			
		EP	0667896 A			
			2191677			
		ES JP	8504574 T			
		WO	9409117 /	\1 28-04-	1994	
WO 02057423	A 25-07-2002		2434802			
		EP	1392859			
		JP	2004520037			
		WO	02057423			
		US	2002168702	\1 14-11-	-2002	
WO 9958977	A 18-11-1999		765085			
		AU	4073699			
		CA	2330678			
		EP	1078263			
		JP	2002514765	Γ 21–05-	-2002	
		WO	9958977			
		US	6576428			
		US	2004023377	A1 05-02-	-2004	
WO 9202551	A 20-02-1992	CA	2090126	A1 03-02-	-1992	
		CA	2390512	A1 20-02-	-1992	
		WO	9202551			
		EP	0542810			
		ÜS	5627052			
WO 2004051268	A 17-06-2004	WO	2004051268	A1 17-06-	-2004	